

New Mexico - (Datil Field Office)

FY 2003 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands

Applicant: _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date: _____

Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating ____ Final Rating ____

1. Plants - Potential Points - 80 (25%)

Note: Instructions on separate sheet	% Area in Contract Before Treatment	% Area in Contract After Treatment.	Potential Points	Points - Bench Mark	Points - After
Rangelands:	SI of 76-1 00 w/trend up or not apparent	% ____ + ____ + ____ =	% 80		
Ecological	SI of 51-75 with upward trend	% ____ + ____ + ____ =	% 70		
Site	SI of 51-75 with downward trend	% ____ + ____ + ____ =	% 60		
Similarity	SI of 26-50 with upward trend	% ____ + ____ + ____ =	% 50		
Index	SI of 26-50 with downward trend	% ____ + ____ + ____ =	% 40		
(SI)*	SI of 0-25 with upward trend	% ____ + ____ + ____ =	% 30		
	SI of 0-25 with downward trend	% ____ + ____ + ____ =	% 20		
Riparian	Use Attachment 1, 2, or 3	% Quality Bench Mark: _____	% Quality After: _____	% 80	
Grazed Forest:	Use Attachment 4	% Quality Bench Mark: _____	% Quality After: _____	% 80	
1. Plants Total		100%	Total	100%	Total:

2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - Potential Points - 208 (65%)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the EQIP Contract must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans.	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	Points - After
Soil Erosion			
Prescribed Burn (338) or Brush Mgt.(314)	10		
Grade Stabilization (410) or other erosion control measure (356 or 362)	10		
Fence (382)	6		
Well (642) or Pumping Plant (533) or Spring Development (574)	4		
Water System Component - Pipeline (516) or Watering Facility (614)	6		
Water Quantity			
Prescribed Burn (338) or Brush Mgt.(314)	10		
Well (642) or Pumping Plant (533) or Spring Development (574)	6		
Water System Component - Pipeline (516) or Watering Facility (614)	4		
Pond (378) or Pond Lining (521)	4		
Water Quality			
Prescribed Burn (338) or Brush Mgt.(314)	10		
Grade Stabilization (410) or other erosion control measure (356 or 362)	10		
Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment(548)	6		
Air			
Prescribed Burn (338) or Brush Mgt.(314)	10		
Grade Stabilization (410) or other erosion control measure (356 or 362)	10		
Range Seeding (550)	10		
Windbreak(380)	6		

New Mexico - (Datil Field Office)

FY 2003 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands

Applicant: _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date: _____

Tribal Land	Non-Tribal Land	Preliminary Rating	Final Rating
Plants			
	Prescribed Burn (338) or Brush Mgt.(314)	10	
	Range Seeding (550)	10	
	Fence (382)	6	
	Water System Component - Pipeline (516) or Watering Facility (614)	6	
	Well (642) or Pumping Plant (533) or Spring Development (574)	4	
	Grazing Land Mech. Treatment(548)	4	
Animals			
	Prescribed Burn (338) or Brush Mgt.(314)	10	
	Fence(382)	7	
	Water System Component - Pipeline (516) or Watering Facility (614)	10	
	Well (642) or Pumping Plant (533) or Spring Development (574)	6	
	Pond (378)	7	
	Windbreak(380)	6	
2. Conservation Practice Selection		Total:	

3. Other Considerations - Potential Points - 32 (10%)

Below are some suggested, not required, criteria. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them here.	Potential Points	Bench-mark	Points - After
A. At risk species are in the area and the contract will enhance habitat for the species.	8	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	8	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or proposed sec. 319 project.	8	0	
D. Proposed contracted area will be treated to eradicate and/or prevent infestation of Class A and/or Class B noxious weeds, as designated by NMDA.	8	0	
3. Other Considerations	Total:	0	

Designated Conservationist _____
Date