

NM EQIP FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Cuba F.O.

NEW MEXICO EQIP-CUBA GRAZING LANDS

Applicant _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date: _____

Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating ____ Final Rating ____

1. Plants - 65 Potential Points (25% of Total)

Note: Instructions on separate sheet	% Area in Contract Before Treatment	% Area in Contract After Treatment.	Potential Points	Bench-mark Points	After Points
Grazing Plan:	Intensive		30		
	Seasonal		15		
	Continuous		0		
Deferment Period:	>75% of Growing Season		20		
	51-75% of Growing Season		10		
	26-50% of Growing Season		5		
	0-25% of Growing Season		0		
Stocking Rate:	1 aum/>11 acres		15		
	1 aum/9-11 acres		10		
	1 aum/6-8.9 acres		5		
	1 aum/<6 acres		0		
1. Plants			Total:		

2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - 160 Potential Points (65% of Total)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
Soil Erosion (Erosion and Deposition) Pot. Range of points (30)			
362-Diversions (Concentrated Flows)	0-15		
Grade Stabilization Structure (410) (Classic Gully)	0-10		
Field Windbreaks-392 (wind)	0-5		
Water Quantity-Pot. Range of points (30)			
Pond (378)	0-5		
Spring Development (547) or Well or Trough	0-5		
Well, Livestock Pipeline and Storage (642,516 & 614)	0-20		
Water Quality (Surface Water Contaminants, Suspended sediments) (30)			
362-Diversions	0-10		
314-Brush Control-Mechanical	0-5		
31Brush Control-Chemical	0-10		
Air (Dust) (range of points-10)			
380-Farmstead/Field Windbreaks (movement)	0-5		
550-Range Planting Airbourne Sediments	0-5		

NM EQIP FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Cuba F.O.

2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - Continued

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
Plants (Suitability, Condition and Management) (30)			
Range Planting (550) Cover	0-10		
Fencing (382) health and vigor	0-10		
Brush Management (314) productivity	0-10		
Animals (Habitat and Management) (30)			
Range Planting (550) Cover	0-10		
Brush Management (314) food	0-5		
Wells or Livestock Pipeline or Storage (516 & 614)	0-5		
382-Fences population balance	0-10		
2. Conservation Practice Selection	Total:		

3. Other Considerations - 25 Potential Points (10% of Total)

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them as item(s) E and F.	Potential Points	Bench-mark Points	After Points
A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced. (List the species impacted)	10		
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	5		
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 319 project.	7		
D. The land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed.	3		
3. Other Considerations	0		

Points Earned (After minus Benchmark): Sec. 1 _____ Sec. 2 _____ Sec. 3 _____ Total _____*

*A Minimum 30% (75) of the total available points (250) must be earned to be considered for final ranking.

Designated Conservationist

Date