

New Mexico - (Roy Field Office)
FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands

Applicant: _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date: _____

Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating ___ Final Rating ___

1. Plants - 250 Potential Points

Note: Instructions on separate sheet		% Area in Contract Before Treatment	% Area in Contract After Treatment.	Potential Points	Points - Bench Mark	Points - After
Rangelands: Ecological Site Similarity Index (SI)*	SI of 76-1 00 w/trend up or not apparent	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	250	
	SI of 51-75 with upward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	150	
	SI of 51-75 with downward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	0	
	SI of 26-50 with upward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	100	
	SI of 26-50 with downward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	0	
	SI of 0-25 with upward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	50	
	SI of 0-25 with downward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	0	
Riparian	Use Attachment 1, 2, or 3	% Quality Bench Mark: _____	%	% Quality After: _____	%	N/A
Grazed Forest:	Use Attachment 4	% Quality Bench Mark: _____	%	% Quality After: _____	%	N/A
1. Plants		Total	100%	Total	100%	Total:

2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - 600 Potential Points

Resource Concerns to be treated by the following practices include; Soil (Erosion) (Sheet & Rill, Wind & Water) Water(Quantity & Quality) (Excessive Runoff & Flooding) Plant (Community) (Productivity, Health & Vigor, Invasive Plants) Animals (Habitat) (Wildlife Imbalance, Domestic Animal Inadequate Quantities & Quality of Forage, Inadequate Stock Water)			Potential Points	Percent of area Treated	Points - After
Soil	Prescribed Grazing w/ Prescribed Burning & Brush Management (528A, 314, 338)		80		
	Range Planting (550)		70		
Water	Water Development to improve grazing Management one Pasture (642, 614, 516)		60		
	Water Development to improve Grazing Management two Pastures (642, 614, 516)		80		
	Water Development to improve Grazing Management three Pastures (642, 614, 516)		100		
	Water Development to improve Grazing Management four Pastures (642, 614, 516)		120		
Plants	Brush Management (314)		30		
	Brush Management (314) Medium		40		
	Brush Management (314) Heavy		120		
	Continuous Grazing		0		
	Initiate Prescribed Grazing (528A) -Deferred 25- 70% During Growing Season		50		
	Initiate Prescribed Grazing (528A) - Deferred > 70% During The Growing Season		150		
Animals	Wildlife Watering Facility		30		
	Prescribed Burning (338)		30		
2. Conservation Practice Selection			Total:		

3. Other Considerations - 150 Potential Points

Below are some suggested, not required, criteria. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them here.	Potential Points	Bench-mark	Points - After
A. At risk species are in the area and the contract will enhance habitat for the species.	75	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	N/A	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active sec. 319 project	N/A	0	
D. This land is within a proposed sec. 319 project.	N/A	0	
E. Producer agrees to develop/implement an EQIP conservation plan to the RMS treatment level.	75	0	
Total:		0	

Points Earned (Total minus Benchmark) Sec. 1 _____ Sec. 2 _____ Sec. 3 _____ Total _____

Designated Conservationist

Date