

NM EQIP FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland - Santa Fe F.O.

0.3 Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date _____
 Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary _____ Final _____

1. Water Quantity - 70 Potential Points (20-40% of Total)

| Irrigation Efficiency - Use FIRS to evaluate. Benchmark & After points equal actual % efficiency times any multiplier. Total equals after minus benchmark pts. | | | | Potential Points | Benchmark Points | After Points |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|-------|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| % Efficiency | % of Area in Contract before Treatment | % of Area in Contract After Treatment | After | | | |
| | | | | 70 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1. Water Quantity | | | | Total | | |

2. Water Quality - 40 Potential Points (20-40% of Total)

| A. Surface Water Pollutants - 20 Maximum Points | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| There is a probability that runoff water from irrigated fields contains sediment, salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals). Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from entering live waters, or re-entering a shared irrigation system. Points will be awarded based on distance from the end of the field to the nearest live waters or re-entry point into a shared system. If there is no run-off, after points will be 0. | | | |
| Distance of Surface Run-Off to Live Water | Potential Points | Benchmark Points | After Points |
| <100 Feet | 20 | 0 | |
| 101 - 500 Ft. | 18 | 0 | |
| 501 - 1,320 Ft. | 16 | 0 | |
| 1,321 - 2,640 Ft. | 14 | 0 | |
| >2,640 Feet | 12 | 0 | |
| A. Surface Water | | Total | 0 |
| B. Ground Water Pollutants - 20 Maximum Points | | | |
| There is a probability that irrigation water containing salt, pesticides, and/or other nutrients (or other associated chemicals) is leaching into the ground water. Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from contaminating ground water, through leaching and/or direct flow into wells. Points will be awarded based on depth to the water table or elimination of any direct discharge to ground water (regardless of depth to the water table). | | | |
| Depth to Water Table | Potential Points | Benchmark Points | After Points |
| 1 - 10 Ft or elimination of any direct discharge into ground water. | 20 | 0 | |
| 10 - 50 Ft. | 15 | 0 | |
| 50 -100 Ft. | 10 | 0 | |
| >100 Ft. | 5 | 0 | |
| B. Ground Water | | Total | 0 |
| 2. Water Quality | | Total | 0 |

NM EQIP FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland - Santa Fe F.O.

3. Selected Conservation Practice(s) - 70 Potential Points (25-50% of Total)

| Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation schedule of operations must be cost-shared or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide. | Potential Points | Percent of Need to be Installed | After Points |
|--|------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Irrigation Land Smoothing (466) Irrigation Induced Erosion, Deposition Offsite | 10 | | |
| Irrigation Land Leveling (464) Irrigation Induced Erosion, Deposition Offsite | 10 | | |
| Irrigation System Trickle (441) (Sprinkler) (442) Surface (443) Inefficient Application | 10 | | |
| Irrigation Water Conveyance (428) (430) Overland Flow, Irrigation Induced Erosion | 10 | | |
| Irrigation System Sprinkler (441) Inefficient Application, Overland Flow, | 10 | | |
| Windbreak/Shelterbelt (380) Airborne Dust, Habitat Food, Cover/Shelter | 5 | | |
| Cover and Green Manure (340) Pasture Planting (512) Soil Tilt, | 10 | | |
| Riparian Forest Buffer (392) Tree and Shrub Establishment (612) Habitat Cover | 5 | | |
| | | | |
| 3. Selected Conservation Practices | Total | | |

4. Other Considerations - 20 Potential Points (10-25% of Total)

| Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include it as item E. | Potential Points | Benchmark Points | After Points |
|--|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced. (List the species impacted) | 5 | 0 | |
| B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment. | 5 | 0 | |
| C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active/planned section 309 proj | 5 | 0 | |
| D. The land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed. | 5 | 0 | |
| 4. Other Considerations | Total | 0 | |

Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Sec 1 _____ Sec 2 _____ Sec 3 _____ Sec 4 _____ Worksheet Total _____

Designated Conservationist

Date