

New Mexico - FORT SUMNER FIELD OFFICE
FY 2003 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands

Applicant: _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date: _____

Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating __ Final Rating ____

1. Plants - Potential Points 100

| Note: Instructions on separate sheet | | % Area in Contract Before Treatment | % Area in Contract After Treatment. | Potential Points | Points - Bench Mark | Points - After | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| Rangelands: | SI of 76-100 w/trend up or not apparent | 0% | + + = 0% | 100 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Ecological SI of 51-75 with upward trend | 0% | + + = 0% | 80 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Site SI of 51-75 with downward trend | 0% | + + = 0% | 10 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Similarity SI of 26-50 with upward trend | 0% | + + = 0% | 60 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Index SI of 26-50 with downward trend | 0% | + + = 0% | 10 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | (SI)* SI of 0-25 with upward trend | 0% | + + = 0% | 50 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | SI of 0-25 with downward trend | 0% | + + = 0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Riparian | Use Attachment 1, 2, or 3 | % Quality Bench Mark: 0% | % Quality After: 0% | 100 | | | | |
| Grazed Forest | Use Attachment 4 | % Quality Bench Mark: 0% | % Quality After: 0% | N/A | | | | |
| 1. Plants | | Total | 100% | Total | 100% | Total | 0 | 0 |

2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - Potential Points 200

| Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the EQIP Contract must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. | | Potential Points | Percent of Need to be Installed | Points - After |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Soil Erosion | | | | |
| | Brush Management (314) | 10 | | 0.0 |
| Water Quantity | | | | |
| | Brush Management (314) | 10 | | 0.0 |
| Water Quality | | | | |
| | Brush Management (314) | 10 | | 0.0 |
| Plants | | | | |
| | Brush Management (314) | 10 | | 0.0 |
| | Fence (382) | 20 | | 0.0 |
| | Livestock Pipeline (516) | 20 | | 0.0 |
| | Water Facility (614) | 20 | | 0.0 |
| | Well (642) | 20 | | 0.0 |
| Animals | | | | |
| | Brush Management (314) | 10 | | 0.0 |
| | Fence (382) | 20 | | 0.0 |
| | Livestock Pipeline (516) | 20 | | 0.0 |
| | Water Facility (614) | 20 | | 0.0 |
| | Well (642) | 20 | | 0.0 |
| 2. Conservation Practice Selection | | Total | | 0.0 |

New Mexico - FORT SUMNER FIELD OFFICE
FY 2003 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands

Applicant: _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date: _____

Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating __ Final Rating __

3. Other Considerations - Potential Points 50

| Below are some suggested, not required, criteria. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them here. | Potential Points | | Points - After |
|--|-----------------------|------------|----------------|
| A. At risk species are in the area and the contract will enhance habitat for the species. | 10 | | |
| B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment. | N/A | | |
| C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active sec. 319 project. | 5 | | |
| D. This land is within a proposed sec. 319 or grant project. | 10 | | |
| E. Proposed contracted area will be treated to eradicate and/or prevent infestation of Class A, Class B and/or Class C noxious weeds, as designated by NMDA. | 5 | | |
| F. Brush Management Practices will be adjacent to the Pecos River Corridor. | 20 | | |
| 3. Other Considerations | Total | | 0 |
| | Plants | 100 | 0 |
| | Practices | 200 | 0 |
| | Other | 50 | 0 |
| | Total | 350 | |
| | Ranking Number | | 0 |

Producer(s) _____
Date

Designated Conservationist _____
Date

5/6/03