

New Mexico - (Lordsburg Field Office)
FY 2003 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands

Applicant: _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date: _____

Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____

1. Plants - Potential Points (25% of Total = 60 points)

Note: Instructions on separate sheet		% Area in Contract Before Treatment	% Area in Contract After Treatment.	Potential Points	Points - Bench Mark	Points - After
Rangelands:	SI of 76-100 w/trend up or not apparent	%	+ + =	% 60		
	Ecological	SI of 51-75 with upward trend	%	+ + =	% 50	
	Site	SI of 51-75 with downward trend	%	+ + =	% 40	
	Similarity	SI of 26-50 with upward trend	%	+ + =	% 30	
		SI of 26-50 with downward trend	%	+ + =	% 20	
	Index (SI)*	SI of 0-25 with upward trend	%	+ + =	% 10	
		SI of 0-25 with downward trend	%	+ + =	% 0	
Riparian	Use Attachment 1, 2, or 3	% Quality Bench Mark:	%	% Quality After:	% 60	
Grazed Forest:	Use Attachment 4	% Quality Bench Mark:	%	% Quality After:	% 60	
		1. Plants Total	100% Total	100%	Total:	

2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - Potential Points (65% of Total = 165 points)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the EQIP Contract must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. For Water Distribution to receive points under a resource concern, the practices installed must increase the effectiveness of the current water distribution system, and the addition of the water distribution system must...	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	Points - After
Soil Erosion			
<u>Water Distribution</u> - L/S Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Pipeline (516), Trough(614)	20		
Fencing (382)	15		
Brush Management (314)	10		
<u>Erosion Control</u> - Diversion (362), Grade Stab. Struct. (410), Streambank & Shore Prot. (580)	5		
Range Planting (550)	5		
Water Quantity			
<u>Water Distribution</u> - L/S Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Pipeline (516), Trough(614)	20		
Brush Management (314)	10		
<u>Erosion Control</u> - Diversion (362), Grade Stab. Struct. (410), Streambank & Shore Prot. (580)	5		
Water Quality			
<u>Water Distribution</u> - L/S Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Pipeline (516), Trough(614)	20		
Fencing (382)	15		
Brush Management (314)	10		
<u>Erosion Control</u> - Diversion (362), Grade Stab. Struct. (410), Streambank & Shore Prot. (580)	5		
Air			
Plants			
<u>Water Distribution</u> - L/S Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Pipeline (516), Trough(614)	20		
Fencing (382)	15		
Brush Management (314)	10		
<u>Erosion Control</u> - Diversion (362), Grade Stab. Struct. (410), Streambank & Shore Prot. (580)	5		
Range Planting (550)	5		
Animals			
<u>Water Distribution</u> - L/S Well (642), Pumping Plant (533), Pipeline (516), Trough(614)	20		
Fencing (382)	15		
Brush Management (314)	10		
<u>Erosion Control</u> - Diversion (362), Grade Stab. Struct. (410), Streambank & Shore Prot. (580)	5		
2. Conservation Practice Selection	Total:		

New Mexico - (Lordsburg Field Office)
FY 2003 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands

Applicant: _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date: _____

Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____

3. Other Considerations - Potential Points (10% of Total = 25 points)

	Potential Points		Points - After
A. At risk species are in the area and the contract will enhance habitat for the species.	5		
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	5		
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active sec. 319 project.	5		
D. This land is within a proposed sec. 319 project.	5		
E. Proposed contracted area will be treated to eradicate and/or prevent infestation of Class A and/or Class B noxious weeds, as designated by NMDA.	5		
3. Other Considerations	Total:		

Designated Conservationist

Date