

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

Hidalgo SWCD

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Hidalgo SWCD		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:	Telephone:	
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds consistent with TMDL's where available as well as the reduction of groundwater contamination or point source such as contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in the conservation of a considerable amount of ground or surface water resources?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Select only one YES answer to questions 1 thru 6. IrrVirden Valley1--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of <10% to 10%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. IrrVirden Valley2--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 11% to 20%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. IrrVirden Valley3--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 21% to 30%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. IrrVirden Valley4--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 31% to 40%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. IrrVirden Valley5--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 41% to 50%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. IrrVirden Valley6--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of >50%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. IrrVirden Valley7--Will the proposed action to be to convert from surface to sprinkler irrigation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. IrrVirden Valley8--Will the proposed action address reduction of surface water contaminants through the NM	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

approved practices list?	
9. IrrVirDen Valley9--Will the proposed action include practices that significantly reduce irrigation induced erosion?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. IrrVirDen Valley10--Will applicant include a proposed action to address streambank soil erosion?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. IrrVirDen Valley11--Will the proposed action result in a positive benefit to habitat requirements of wildlife species of special concern?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
12. IrrVirDen Valley12--Will the proposed action result in a positive benefit to habitat of terrestrial and aquatic wildlife species?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
13. IrrVirDen Valley13--Will the proposed action include treatment of state listed non-native invasive plants?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
14. IrrVirDen Valley14--Using the RUSLE tool, the applicant has a higher Soil Condition Index number and also positive?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
15. IrrVirDen Valley15--Has the applicant had a Farm Bill Contract cancelled for non-compliance?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
16. IrrVirDen Valley16--Does the applicant have an active Farm Bill contract and scheduled plan of operations is not followed or at least one scheduled practice per year is not met?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
17. Select only one YES answer to questions IrrCrop1 thru 6. IrrCrop1--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of <10% to 10%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
18. IrrCrop2--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 11% to 20%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
19. IrrCrop3--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 21% to 30%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
20. IrrCrop4--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 31% to 40%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
21. IrrCrop5--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 41% to 50%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
22. IrrCrop6--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of >50%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
23. IrrCrop7--Can a total water savings be demonstrated using FIRS on the acreage serviced by all the wells serving the proposed treatment area? If yes, the application will be considered eligible to compete for Ground and Surface Water Conservation Funds.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
24. IrrCrop8--Will the proposed action be to convert from surface or sprinkler to micro-irrigation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
25. IrrCrop9--Will the proposed action be to convert from surface to sprinkler irrigation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
26. IrrCrop10--Will the proposed action include treatment of state listed non-native invasive plants?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
27. IrrCrop11--Will the proposed action address reduction of groundwater contaminants through the NM approved practices list?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
28. IrrCrop12--Will the proposed action include practices that significantly reduce irrigation induced erosion?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
29. IrrCrop13--Will Erosion Control practices (herbaceous wind barrier, windbreak, cover crop, permanent pasture plantings, high residue crops etc.) be included in the treatment?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
30. IrrCrop14--Will the proposed action result in a positive benefit to habitat requirements of wildlife species of special concern?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
31. IrrCrop15--Will the proposed action result in a positive benefit to habitat of terrestrial and aquatic wildlife species?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
32. IrrCrop16--Using the RUSLE tool, the applicant has a higher Soil Condition Index number and also positive?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
33. IrrCrop17--Has the applicant had a Farm Bill Contract cancelled for non-compliance?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
34. IrrCrop18--Does the applicant have an active Farm Bill contract and scheduled plan of operations is not followed or at least one scheduled practice per year is not met?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
35. Graz1--Are the proposed actions and treatment practices likely to result in a positive response of at least one category code in two of the three Rangeland Health Attributes (Soil Site Stability, Hydrologic Function, Biotic Integrity) for multiple Ecological Sites?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
36. Graz2--Are the proposed actions and treatment practices likely to result in a positive response of at least one category code in one of the three Rangeland Health Attributes (Soil Site Stability, Hydrologic Function, Biotic Integrity) for multiple Ecological Sites?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
37. Graz3--Are the proposed actions and treatment practices likely to result in a positive response of at least one category code in two of the three Rangeland Health Attributes (Soil Site Stability, Hydrologic Function, Biotic Integrity) for an Ecological Site?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
38. Graz4--Are the proposed actions and treatment practices likely to result in a positive response of at least one	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

category code in one of the three Rangeland Health Attributes (Soil Site Stability, Hydrologic Function, Biotic Integrity) for an Ecological Site?	
39. Graz5--Will the proposed action include suitable and effective soil erosion prevention practices?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
40. Graz6--Will the proposed action include NRCS recognized and effective brush management practices?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
41. Graz7--Will the proposed action include treatment of state listed non-native invasive plants?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
42. Graz8--Will the proposed action result in the ability to provide for deferred grazing on additional areas?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
43. Graz9--Will the proposed action result in additional quantities of water sources to be utilized by livestock and wildlife in suitable and strategic areas?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
44. Graz10--Will the proposed action result in positive reduction of sediment in water courses and conveyances?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
45. Graz11--Will the proposed action result in a positive benefit to habitat requirements of wildlife species of special concern?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
46. Graz12--Will the proposed action result in a positive benefit to habitat of terrestrial and aquatic wildlife species?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
47. Graz13--Will the proposed action contain multiple practices having a positive effect on the resource concern of soil erosion on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
48. Graz14--Will the proposed action contain only a single practice having a positive effect on the resource concern of soil erosion on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
49. Graz15--Will the proposed action contain multiple practices having a positive effect on the resource concern of plant condition on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
50. Graz16--Will the proposed action contain only a single practice having a positive effect on the resource concern of plant condition on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
51. Graz17--Will the proposed action contain multiple practices having a positive effect on the resource concern of water quantity on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
52. Graz18--Will the proposed action contain only a single practice having a positive effect on the resource concern of water quantity on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
53. Graz19--Will the proposed action contain multiple practices having a positive effect on the resource concern of water quality on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
54. Graz20--Will the proposed action contain only a single practice having a positive effect on the resource concern of water quality on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
55. Graz21--Will the proposed action contain multiple practices having a positive effect on the resource concern of fish and/or wildlife habitat on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
56. Graz22--Will the proposed action contain only a single practice having a positive effect on the resource concern of fish and/or wildlife habitat on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
57. Graz23--Through record keeping, the applicant is able to demonstrate a grazing management system other than "Continuous" if established producer OR willing to initiate an RMS level plan if a beginning or new producer of existing grazing lands operation.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
58. Graz24--Applicant has had prior EQIP contract within the 1996 or 2002 Farm Bills and completed or maintained Schedule of Operations and is entirely compliant.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
59. Graz25--Does the applicant have an active Farm Bill contract and scheduled plan of operations is not followed or at least one scheduled practice per year is not met?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
60. Select only one response to questions WS_1 or WSI-2. WSI_1--Will the applicant address both resource concerns soil erosion and plant condition?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
61. WSI_2--Will the applicant address only one resource concern?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
62. WSI_3--Is there multi-agency involvement for planned practices (streambank and shoreline protection)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
63. WSI_4--Will the proposed action result in a positive benefit to habitat requirements for wildlife species of special concerns (example: Willow Fly Catcher, Spike Dace and Loach Minnow)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
64. WSI_5--Will the proposed action result in a positive benefit to habitat of terrestrial and aquatic wildlife species.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
65. WSI_6--Will the proposed action contain three or more practices having positive effect on resource concern soil erosion in the Gila sub-watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
66. WSI_7--Will the proposed action contain two practices having positive effect on resource concern soil	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

erosion in the Gila sub-watershed?	
67. WSI_8--Will the proposed action contain only a single practice having a positive effect on resource concern soil erosion in the Gila sub-watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
68. WSI_9--Will the proposed action treat state listed non-native invasive plants?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
69. WSI_10--Will the proposed action contain three or more practices having positive effect on resource concern Plant Condition in the Gila sub-watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
70. WSI_11--Will the proposed action contain two practices having positive effect on resource concern Plant Condition in the Gila sub-watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
71. WSI_12--Will the proposed action contain only a single practice having a positive effect on resource concern Plant Condition in the Gila sub-watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
72. Select only one response to questions WS_13 or WSI-14. WSI_13--Will the proposed treatment benefit riparian and upland areas?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
73. WSI_14--Will the proposed treatment benefit only riparian or upland area?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

<p>Efficiency:</p> <p>Local Issues:</p> <p>State Issues:</p> <p>National Issues:</p> <p>Final Ranking Score:</p>

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

<p>NRCS Designated Conservationist:</p> <p>Signature Date:</p>	<p>Applicant Signature Required for Contract Development:</p> <p>Signature Date:</p>
---	---