

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

Northeastern SWCD - AFO

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Northeastern SWCD - AFO		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:	Telephone:	
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds consistent with TMDL's where available as well as the reduction of groundwater contamination or point source such as contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in the conservation of a considerable amount of ground or surface water resources?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. AFO #1 - An approved CNMP is already in place?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. AFO #2 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the threat of ground water pollution?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. AFO #3 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the threat of surface water pollution?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. AFO #4 - The contract will include practices that will reduce nitrate levels to 10 ppm or less?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. AFO #5 - The collection and transport system is inadequate, but will be significantly improved?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. AFO #6 - The storage and treatment facilities are inadequate, but will be significantly improved?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. AFO #7 - Manure utilization is inadequate, but will be significantly improved?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Is the shortest distance from the facility to any surface water or well < or = 100 feet?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Is the shortest distance from the facility to any surface water or well 101 - 250 feet?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Is the shortest distance from the facility to any surface water or well 251 - 500 feet?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Is the shortest distance from the facility to any surface water or well 501 - 1320 feet?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Is the shortest distance from the facility to any surface water or well > 1320 feet?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Is the distance from the ground surface to the top of the seasonal water table < 10 feet?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Is the distance from the ground surface to the top of the seasonal water table 11 - 50 feet?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Is the distance from the ground surface to the top of the seasonal water table 51 - 100 feet?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Is the distance from the ground surface to the top of the seasonal water table 101 - 200 feet?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Is the distance from the ground surface to the top of the seasonal water table > 200 feet?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

11. Does an analysis of monitoring wells indicate groundwater nitrate contamination of > 20 ppm?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
12. Does an analysis of monitoring wells indicate groundwater nitrate contamination of 15 - 20 ppm?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
13. Does an analysis of monitoring wells indicate groundwater nitrate contamination of 10 - 15 ppm?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
14. Does an analysis of monitoring wells indicate groundwater nitrate contamination of 5 - 10 ppm?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
15. Does an analysis of monitoring wells indicate groundwater nitrate contamination of 0 - 5 ppm?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
16. Storage and treatment equipment and facilities are non-existent.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
17. Storage and treatment equipment and facilities exist but are inadequate.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
18. Storage and treatment equipment and facilities are adequate.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
19. Collection and transfer equipment facilities are non-existent.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
20. Collection and transfer equipment facilities exist but are inadequate.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
21. Collection and transfer equipment facilities are adequate.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
22. Seepage equipment and facilities are non-existent.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
23. Seepage equipment and facilities exist but are inadequate.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
24. Seepage equipment and facilities are adequate.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
25. Will practices be applied that improve irrigation efficiency by > 40% (FIRS)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
26. Will practices be applied that improve irrigation efficiency by 34 - 40% (FIRS)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
27. Will practices be applied that improve irrigation efficiency by 28 - 33% (FIRS)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
28. Will practices be applied that improve irrigation efficiency by 21 - 27% (FIRS)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
29. Will practices be applied that improve irrigation efficiency by < 20% (FIRS)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
30. Is the animal density status low?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
31. Is the animal density status medium?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
32. Is the animal density status high?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
33. Is the animal density status extra high?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
34. Is the current phosphorus risk very high (Phosphorus index worksheet for NM)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
35. Is the current phosphorus risk high (Phosphorus index worksheet for NM)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
36. Is the current phosphorus risk medium (Phosphorus index worksheet for NM)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
37. Is the current phosphorus risk low (Phosphorus index worksheet for NM)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
38. Is the current phosphorus risk very low (Phosphorus index worksheet for NM)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
39. Is there currently a potential for leaching (Irrigated Leaching index and leaching requirement for salt management form)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
40. Does the applicant have and follow a current CNMP?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

<p>Efficiency:</p> <p>Local Issues:</p> <p>State Issues:</p> <p>National Issues:</p> <p>Final Ranking Score:</p>

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

NRCS Designated Conservationist:

Applicant Signature Required for Contract Development:

Signature Date:

Signature Date: