

**Natural Resources Conservation Service
Application Ranking Summary
Ciudad-Cornado SWCD - Tribal**

Program: EQIP 2002	Ranking Date:
Ranking Tool: Ciudad-Cornado SWCD - Tribal	
Final Ranking Score:	
Planner:	
Farm Location:	

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds, groundwater contamination or point source contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	60 Point(s)
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable amount of ground or surface water conservation?	60 Point(s)
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	60 Point(s)
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	20 Point(s)
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	50 Point(s)

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. AFO #1 - An approved CNMP is already in place?	25 Point(s)
2. AFO #2 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the threat of ground water pollution?	50 Point(s)
3. AFO #3 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the threat of surface water pollution?	50 Point(s)
4. AFO #4 - The contract will include practices that will reduce nitrate levels to 10 ppm or less?	50 Point(s)
5. AFO #5 - The collection and transport system is inadequate, but will be significantly improved?	25 Point(s)
6. AFO #6 - The storage and treatment facilities are inadequate, but will be significantly improved?	25 Point(s)
7. AFO #7 - Manure utilization is inadequate, but will be significantly improved?	25 Point(s)
8. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)
9. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)
10. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
11. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
12. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)
13. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)
14. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)
15. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
16. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
17. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)

**Natural Resources Conservation Service
Application Ranking Summary
Ciudad-Cornado SWCD - Tribal**

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Irr. Crop #1 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 10% (using FIRS)?	80 Point(s)
2. Irr.Crop #2. Has the applicant had an EQIP contract within the last five years that was terminated?	-250 Point(s)
3. Irr.Crop #3. The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency between 16-20% (using FIRS)?	40 Point(s)
4. Irr.Crop #4. The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency between 21-30% (using FIRS)?	60 Point(s)
5. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 31% or more (using FIRS)?	80 Point(s)
6. Irr.Crop #6 Will the applicant address water quality and water quantity with two or more practices (i.e. 430 & 464 or 428A & 464)? (automatic 120 points for conversion from surface to drip)	120 Point(s)
7. Irr.Crop #7. Will the applicant implement an RMS level Conservation Plan on contracted acres?	80 Point(s)
8. Irr.Crop #8. Has the applicant performed a soil test within the last two years on contracted acres?	40 Point(s)
9. GR #1. Applicant is implementing, or will implement, a prescribed grazing system (528) after treatment?	100 Point(s)
10. GR #2 Has the applicant had an EQIP contract in the last five years that was terminated?	-250 Point(s)
11. GR #3 Will applicant defer grazing of the key species greater than 75% of the growing season on the contracted acres?	125 Point(s)
12. GR #4 Will applicant defer grazing of the key species greater than 51-75% of the growing season on the contracted acres?	100 Point(s)
13. GR #5 Will applicant defer grazing of the key species greater than 26-50% of the growing season on the contracted acres?	50 Point(s)
14. GR #6 Will the applicant accept and implement an RMS level conservation plan on contracted acres?	75 Point(s)
15. GR #7 Will this treatment include practice (314) that will address invasive woody species (if present) ?	50 Point(s)
16. GR #8 Will this treatment include practices (i.e. 342,362, 356, or 348) that will address sheet & rill erosion (if present)?	50 Point(s)