

**Natural Resources Conservation Service
Application Ranking Summary
Deming SWCD**

Program: EQIP 2002	Ranking Date:
Ranking Tool: Deming SWCD	
Final Ranking Score:	
Planner:	
Farm Location:	

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds, groundwater contamination or point source contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	60 Point(s)
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable amount of ground or surface water conservation?	60 Point(s)
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	60 Point(s)
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	20 Point(s)
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	50 Point(s)

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. AFO #1 - An approved CNMP is already in place?	25 Point(s)
2. AFO #2 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the threat of ground water pollution?	50 Point(s)
3. AFO #3 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the threat of surface water pollution?	50 Point(s)
4. AFO #4 - The contract will include practices that will reduce nitrate levels to 10 ppm or less?	50 Point(s)
5. AFO #5 - The collection and transport system is inadequate, but will be significantly improved?	25 Point(s)
6. AFO #6 - The storage and treatment facilities are inadequate, but will be significantly improved?	25 Point(s)
7. AFO #7 - Manure utilization is inadequate, but will be significantly improved?	25 Point(s)
8. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)
9. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)
10. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
11. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
12. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)
13. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)
14. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)

**Natural Resources Conservation Service
Application Ranking Summary
Deming SWCD**

Issue Questions	Responses
15. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
16. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
17. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Select only one YES answer for questions 1-6. Irr1--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 10%? If yes, this application will be considered low priority and considered for funding after all medium and high priority applications are funded.	30 Point(s)
2. Irr2--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 11% to 20%? If yes, this application will be considered medium priority and considered for funding after all high priority applications are funded.	70 Point(s)
3. Irr3--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 21% to 30%? If yes, this application will be considered high priority.	90 Point(s)
4. Irr4--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 31% to 40%? If yes, this application will be considered high priority.	120 Point(s)
5. Irr5--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 41% to 50%? If yes, this application will be considered high priority.	150 Point(s)
6. Irr6--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of >50%? If yes, this application will be considered high priority.	190 Point(s)
7. Irr7--Can a total water savings be demonstrated using FIRS on the acreage serviced by all the wells serving the proposed treatment area? If yes, the application will be considered eligible to compete for Ground and Surface Water Conservation Funds.	40 Point(s)
8. Select only one YES answer for either question 8 or 9. Irr8--Will the proposed action be to convert from surface or sprinkler to micro-irrigation?	25 Point(s)
9. Irr9--Will the proposed action be to convert from surface to sprinkler irrigation?	15 Point(s)
10. Select only one YES answer for questions 10-13 Irr10--Is the current cropping pattern continuous high residue crops?	60 Point(s)
11. Irr11--Does the current crop rotation include high residue crops every other year?	50 Point(s)
12. Irr12--Does the current crop rotation include high residue crops 1 out of 3 years?	40 Point(s)
13. Irr13--Does the current crop rotation include high residue crops 1 out of 4 years?	20 Point(s)
14. Irr14--Will Erosion Control practices (herbaceous wind barrier, windbreak, etc.) be included in the treatment?	50 Point(s)
15. Irr15--Will the proposed action include treatment of state listed non-native invasive plants?	35 Point(s)
16. Irr16--Has the applicant had a Farm Bill Contract cancelled for non-compliance?	-50 Point(s)
17. Irr17--Does the applicant have an active Farm Bill contract with practices remaining to be completed?	-5 Point(s)
18. Graz1--Will the proposed treatment result in a positive change in the ALL Attributes of the Rangeland Health Assessment Attribute Scores, Weighted? If yes, this application will be considered a high priority.	33 Point(s)

**Natural Resources Conservation Service
Application Ranking Summary
Deming SWCD**

Issue Questions	Responses
19. Graz2--Will the proposed treatment result in a positive change on the Biotic portion of the Rangeland Health Assessment Attribute Score, Weighted (all of the Ecological sites)? If yes, this application will be considered at minimum a medium priority and will be considered for funding after all high priority applications are funded.	33 Point(s)
20. Graz3--Will the proposed action include treatment of state listed Noxious Weeds?	33 Point(s)
21. Graz4--Will Brush Management be included in the treatment?	33 Point(s)
22. Graz5--Will the proposed treatments result in the ability to provide for deferment from grazing on additional areas?	50 Point(s)
23. Graz6--Will the proposed treatment result in an improvement of habitat requirements for species of special concern?	50 Point(s)
24. Graz7-- Will the proposed treatments result in an improvement in the Wildlife Evaluation Guide Sheet for wildlife species of interest?	50 Point(s)
25. Graz8--Will the proposed treatment result in a positive change in any of the Range Health Attributes Numerical Rating for Soil, Hydrology, or Biotic for any Ecological Site?	35 Point(s)
26. Graz9-- Will the proposed treatment result in a positive change in the Soil Site Stability portion of the Rangeland Health Assessment Attribute Score, Weighted (all of the Ecological sites)? If yes, this application will be considered at minimum a low priority.	33 Point(s)
27. Graz10--Will Erosion Control practices for both wind and water (grade stabilization structures, diversions, net-wire diversions, etc) be included in the treatment?	50 Point(s)
28. Graz11--Has the applicant had a Farm Bill Contract cancelled for non-compliance?	-50 Point(s)
29. Graz12--Does the applicant have an active Farm Bill contract with practices remaining to be completed?	-5 Point(s)