U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service NRCS-CPA-52 6/2010 **ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION WORKSHEET**	**A. Client Name:** **B. Conservation Plan ID #** (as applicable): **Program Authority** (optional):
D. Client's Objective(s) (purpose):	**C. Identification #** (farm, tract, field #, etc as required):

E. Need for Action:	**G. Alternatives**		
	No Action √ if RMS ☐	***Alternative 1*** √ if RMS ☐	***Alternative 2*** √ if RMS ☐

Resource Concerns

In Section "F" below, analyze, record, and address concerns identified through the Resources Inventory process. (See FOTG Section III - Resource Quality Criteria for guidance).

F. Resource Concerns and Existing / Benchmark Conditions (Analyze and record the existing/benchmark conditions for each identified concern)	**H. Effects of Alternatives**					
	No Action		***Alternative 1***		***Alternative 2***	
	Amount, Status, Description (short and long term)	√ if does NOT meet QC	Amount, Status, Description (short and long term)	√ if does NOT meet QC	Amount, Status, Description (short and long term)	√ if does NOT meet QC
SOIL						
		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC
		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC
		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC
WATER						
		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC
		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC
		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC

F. Resource Concerns and Existing / Benchmark Conditions (Analyze and record the existing/benchmark conditions for each identified concern)	H. (continued)					
	No Action		*Alternative 1*		*Alternative 2*	
	Amount, Status, Description (short and long term)	√ if does NOT meet QC	Amount, Status, Description (short and long term)	√ if does NOT meet QC	Amount, Status, Description (short and long term)	√ if does NOT meet QC
AIR						
		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC
		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC
		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC
PLANTS						
		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC
		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC
		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC
ANIMALS						
		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC
		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC
		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC		NOT meet ☐ QC
HUMAN - Economic and Social Considerations						

Special Environmental Concerns: Environmental Laws, Executive Orders, policies, etc.

In Section "I" complete and attach applicable Environmental Procedures Guide Sheets for documentation. Items with a "•" may require a federal permit or consultation/coordination between the lead agency and another government agency. In these cases, effects may need to be determined in consultation with another agency. Planning and practice implementation may proceed for practices not involved in consultation.

I. Special Environmental Concerns (Document compliance with Environmental Laws, Executive Orders, policies, etc.)	J. Impacts to Special Environmental Concerns					
	No Action		*Alternative 1*		*Alternative 2*	
	Status and progress of compliance. (Complete and attach Guide Sheets as applicable)	√ if needs further action	Status and progress of compliance. (Complete and attach Guide Sheets as applicable)	√ if needs further action	Status and progress of compliance. (Complete and attach Guide Sheets as applicable)	√ if needs further action
•Clean Air Act		☐		☐		☐
•Clean Water Act / Waters of the U.S.		☐		☐		☐
•Coastal Zone Management		☐		☐		☐
Coral Reefs		☐		☐		☐
•Cultural Resources / Historic Properties		☐		☐		☐
•Endangered and Threatened Species		☐		☐		☐
Environmental Justice		☐		☐		☐
•Essential Fish Habitat		☐		☐		☐
Floodplain Management		☐		☐		☐
Invasive Species		☐		☐		☐
•Migratory Birds/Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act		☐		☐		☐
Prime and Unique Farmlands		☐		☐		☐
Riparian Area		☐		☐		☐
•Wetlands		☐		☐		☐
•Wild and Scenic Rivers		☐		☐		☐

K. Other Agencies and Broad Public Concerns	*No Action*	*Alternative 1*	*Alternative 2*
Easements, Permissions, Public Review, or Permits Required and Agencies Consulted.			

K. (continued) Other Agencies and Broad Public Concerns		*No Action*	*Alternative 1*	*Alternative 2*
Cumulative Effects Narrative (Describe the cumulative impacts considered, including past, present and known future actions regardless of who performed the actions)				
L. Mitigation				
M. Preferred Alternative	√ preferred alternative	☐	☐	☐
	Supporting reason			

N. Context (Record context of alternatives analysis)

The significance of an action must be analyzed in several contexts such as society as a whole (human, national), the affected region, the affected interests, and the locality.

O. Determination of Significance or Extraordinary Circumstances

Intensity: Refers to the severity of impact. Impacts may be both beneficial and adverse. A significant effect may exist even if the Federal agency believes that on balance the effect will be beneficial. Significance cannot be avoided by terming an action temporary or by breaking it down into small component parts.

If you answer ANY of the below questions "yes" then contact the State Environmental Liaison as there may be extraordinary circumstances and significance issues to consider and a site specific NEPA analysis may be required.

Yes	No	
☐	☐	• Is the preferred alternative expected to cause significant effects on public health or safety?
☐	☐	• Is the preferred alternative expected to significantly effect unique characteristics of the geographic area such as proximity to historic or cultural resources, park lands, prime farmlands, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers, or ecologically critical areas?
☐	☐	• Are the effects of the preferred alternative on the quality of the human environment likely to be highly controversial?
☐	☐	• Does the preferred alternative have highly uncertain effects or involve unique or unknown risks on the human environment?
☐	☐	• Does the preferred alternative establish a precedent for future actions with significant impacts or represent a decision in principle about a future consideration?
☐	☐	• Is the preferred alternative known or reasonably expected to have potentially significant environment impacts to the quality of the human environment either individually or cumulatively over time?
☐	☐	• Will the preferred alternative likely have a significant adverse effect on ANY of the special environmental concerns? Use the Evaluation Procedure Guide Sheets to assist in this determination. This includes, but is not limited to, concerns such as cultural or historical resources, endangered and threatened species, environmental justice, wetlands, floodplains, coastal zones, coral reefs, essential fish habitat, wild and scenic rivers, clean air, riparian areas, natural areas, and invasive species.
☐	☐	• Will the preferred alternative threaten a violation of Federal, State, or local law or requirements for the protection of the environment?

P. The information recorded above is based on the best available information:

In the case where a non-NRCS person (i.e. a TSP) assists with planning they are to sign the first signature block and then NRCS is to sign the second block as the responsible federal agency for the planning action.

Signature (TSP if applicable)	Title	Date
Signature (NRCS)	**Title**	**Date**

The following sections are to be completed by the Responsible Federal Official (RFO)

Q. NEPA Compliance Finding (check one)

The preferred alternative:		Action required
☐	1) is **not a federal action** where the agency has control or responsibility.	Document in "R.1" below. No additional analysis is required
☐	2) is a federal action that is **categorically excluded** from further environmental analysis **and** there are no extraordinary circumstances.	Document in "R.2" below. No additional analysis is required
☐	3) is a federal action that has been **sufficiently analyzed** in an existing Agency state, regional, or national NEPA document **and** there are no predicted significant adverse environmental effects or extraordinary circumstances.	Document in "R.1" below. No additional analysis is required.
☐	4) is a federal action that has been sufficiently analyzed in another Federal agency's NEPA document (EA or EIS) that addresses the proposed NRCS action and its' effects **and has been formally adopted by NRCS**. NRCS is required to prepare and publish the agency's own Finding of No Significant Impact for an EA or Record of Decision for an EIS when adopting another agency's EA or EIS document. **Note: This box is not applicable to FSA.**	Contact the State Environmental Liaison for list of NEPA documents formally adopted and available for tiering. Document in "R.1" below. No additional analysis is required
☐	5) is a federal action that has **NOT** been sufficiently analyzed or may involve predicted significant adverse environmental effects or extraordinary circumstances and may require an EA or EIS.	Contact the State Environmental Liaison. Further NEPA analysis required.

R. Rationale Supporting the Finding

R.1 Findings Documentation	
R.2 Applicable Categorical Exclusion(s) (more than one may apply)	

I have considered the effects of the alternatives on the Resource Concerns, Economic and Social Considerations, Special Environmental Concerns, and Extraordinary Circumstances as defined by Agency regulation and policy.

S. Signature of Responsible Federal Official:

Signature	Title	Date

Additional notes